DESIDOC Bulletin of Information Technology, Vol. 27, No. 1, January 2007, pp. 69-76 © 2007, DESIDOC

Materials Science Research in India: A Scientometric Analysis

Rajpal Walke and S.M.Dhawan*

National Physical Laboratory, Dr K.S.Krishnan Marg, New Delhi–110 012 E-mail: rajpalw@yahoo.com

*Library & Information Consultant, 114 Dayanand Vihar, New Delhi–110 092 E-mail: smdhawan@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The paper analyses the growth and publications size of the Indian publications in materials science during 1993-2001. It also analyses various other features of publications output such as modes of communication, areas of research priority, research quality, nature of collaboration, and institutional productivity.

Keywords: Materials science, scientometric analysis, publications size

1. INTRODUCTON

Materials science has been defined variantly in the literature. According to the 1974 summary report of the Committee on the Survey of Materials Science and Engineering (COSMAT) of the United States National Academy of Sciences, the materials science has been defined as a multidisciplinary field concerned with the fundamental nature of materials and their applications, with the generations and application of knowledge relating to the composition, structure, and processing of materials to their properties and uses. This definition recognises three basic elements in the study of materials: structure, composition, and processing and properties. The Committee on Materials Science and Engineering of the National Research Council in its report, Materials science and engineering for the 1990s: Maintaining competitiveness in the age of Materials, provided another definition in which it added the fourth element–performance. According to this report, materials science is defined as the study of materials for controlling the four basic elements–properties; structure and composition; synthesis and processing; and performance, and understanding the strong interrelationships among them.

Materials science is synonymous with new and advanced materials. This new discipline has given rise to several new materials for weight reduction, optimal utilisation of energy, cost reduction, and greater efficiency. Their applications in computers, automobiles,

communication equipment, structural products, etc. have radically altered the quality of our life in many ways; new appliances are lighter and efficient, automobiles and aeroplanes are using less fuel and going faster. Materials science is now offering advanced materials by exercising control over the structure of material even at nano, micro, and macro scales. Such materials are able to meet the stringent performance requirement imposed by most modern technologies such as supersonic aircraft, space vehicles, etc. Industries such as aerospace, automobiles, biomaterials, chemicals, electronics, energy, metals, communications are still looking for new improved and more economical materials and processes for meeting their critical needs. The economic and strategic impact of such developments on the society has been so profound that materials science has come to be recognised as an independent discipline in itself. The field continues to expand its knowledge base for breakthrough in structural materials, electronics materials, magnetic materials, photonic materials, super conducting materials, and biomaterials.

Even information technology owes a great deal of its tremendous developments to advances in materials science. It has led to the development of electronic and optical materials without which large-scale integration and fibre optic communication would not have become possible. Prof C N R Rao, one of the leading science policy makers of India considers the use of advanced technology materials as an index of development. Such has been its contribution to the society that materials science is now recognised as a subject of special importance.

India has a long tradition of working in the field of materials science. A number of institutions including academic, institutes of national importance, R&D sector, and industry are actively pursuing research on different aspects of materials science. For example, in the area of metals and alloys, several institutes such as Defence Metallurgical Research Laboratory (DMRL), Hyderabad; Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore; Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IITB), Mumbai; Vikram Sarabhai Space Centre (VSSC), Trivandrum; Bhabha Atomic Research Research Centre (BARC), Mumbai; MIDHANI, Hyderabad; National Metallurgical Laboratory (NML), Jamshedpur; and IDPL Chemicals Limited, Hyderabad are engaged in R&D work. Similarly in area like polymers, some of the institute engaged in R&D work are National Chemical Laboratory (NCL), Pune; Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IITB), Mumbai and New Delhi; Central Leather Research Institute (CLRI), Chennai; etc. Most of the funding in materials science to various institutions in India comes from parents bodies as well as from extramural funding schemes of various government agencies/departments.

In the past, only few evaluation studies have been conducted in Indiæt idrasar, analysed research output from Indian institutions in seven categories of materials, viz., metals and alloys, aluminium, ceramics, composites, glass, polymers, and wood based on the database developed by INSDOC through funding from TIFAC during 1980-89. Mohan², et al. studied the international collaborative papers of Indian scientists during 1995-99 as covered by *Materials Science Citation Index*.

2. OBJECTIVES

The objective of this paper is to study the R&D output from Indian institutions in the area of materials science during 1993-2001 with focus on growth and development, quality of research, institutional productivity and quality, collaborative profile, subject areas of priority, mode of communication, etc.

3. METHODOLOGY AND SOURCES USED

The results presented in the study are based on raw bibliographical publication data (along with their citations data) for the period 1993-2001 extracted and downloaded in February 2004 from the *Science Citation Index–Expanded Version* (SCIE) of the Thomson-ISI. The delineation of the broad and narrow subject areas in research publications was based on a set of journals assigned by the Thomson-ISI to relevant subject categories. A number of absolute and relative indices were used for analysing performance of research in materials science in India.

4. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

4.1 Publications Size

Publications data derived from the Web of Science revealed that India published 9545 papers in materials science during 1993-2001. At sub-field level their distribution shows wide variations. For example, multidisciplinary science accounts for the largest share (76.6 per cent) in the total country output in materials science, followed by ceramics (5.76 per cent), textile (4.27 per cent), coatings and films (4.06 per cent), materials characterisation and testing (3.03 per cent), biomaterials (1.48 per cent), and wood (0.68 per cent) (Table 1).

4.2 Growth Rate

The country has achieved an average growth rate of 7.09 per cent annually in the materials science research during 1993-2001, which marginally increased to 7.61 per cent during 1997-2001. It is evident that materials science research in the country is growing steadily. At sub-field level, average publications growth rate annually is much higher, ranging between 10.4 per cent and 242.5 per cent; the lowest being 10.4 per cent for ceramics and the highest being 242.5 per cent for textile (Table 2). The relatively higher growth rate of the country as seen at the sub-field levels in materials science compared to its 7.09 per cent growth rate as well as wide just 2.82 per cent rise. Comparing growth rate on cumulative data of three years, textile

Subject	Publications count	Average IF per paper	Per cent share in total papers in materials science
Biomaterials	141	0.979	1.48
Ceramics	550	0.897	5.76
Characterisation & testing	289	0.296	3.03
Coatings & films	388	1.034	4.06
Composites	376	0.446	3.94
Multidisciplinary	7328	0.909	76.77
Textile	408	0.212	4.27
Wood	65	0.333	0.68
Total	9545	0.638	100.00

Table 1	. Publications	output from	India in	materials	science	during 1993-200	1
---------	----------------	-------------	----------	-----------	---------	-----------------	---

 Table 2. Publications growth in materials science for India during 1993-2001

Pub. year	Bio- materials	Ceramics	Characteri- sation and testing	Coatings and films	Compo- sites	Multidiscip- linary	Textile	Wood	Total
1993	9	43	15	30	36	673	12	8	826
1994	6	38	23	25	24	692	2	15	825
1995	19	55	24	40	32	754	41	5	970
1996	12	61	32	36	17	772	48	4	982
1997	17	54	43	26	30	922	50	5	1147
1998	11	56	34	49	34	781	53	8	1026
1999	17	81	28	45	65	895	57	8	1196
2000	25	79	48	43	59	874	64	3	1195
2001	25	83	42	94	79	965	81	9	1378
Av Ann. Gr Rate	31.81	10.44	18.22	25.00	19.85	5.12	242.54	27.92	7.09

DESIDOC Bull. Inf. Techol., 2007, 27(1)

has been the fastest growing area in materials science witnessing 267.2 per cent growth during 1993-95 to 1999-2001 followed by composites (120.6 per cent), biomaterials (97 per cent), coating and films (91.5 per cent), materials characterisation and testing (90 per cent), and multidisciplinary areas (29 per cent). In wood research, the country showed decline 28.5 per cent in its publications growth during the same period (Table 3).

4.3 Sub-field-Wise Analysis

Publications activity of the country in materials science was studied sub-field wise to examine and compare to what extent its publications size in each sub-field under different periods of study is comparable with its overall publications size in materials science. In this, data was computed for measuring publications activity index. It is a relative measure and its average value is taken as one. The value of activity index, if more than one, indicates that the publications activity in the respective sub-fields is above the country average. For value of activity index less than one, it indicates that the publications activity in the respective sub-field is below the country average.

The publications activity in multidisciplinary science, though has been as rising at 29 per cent sexennially, but compared to the publications activity in materials science it has been declining gradually from 1.05 in 1993-95 to 1.02 in 1996-98, and to 0.94 in 1999-2001. The publications activity in wood compared to national average in materials science has also been declining gradually, from 1.57 in 1993-95 to 0.79 in 1996-98, and to 0.78 in 1999-2001. In all other subjects, publications activity has been gradually rising.

The same trend is also reflected by the growth rate in publications output in these sub-fields (Table 4).

4.4 Media of Communications

Indian scientists published papers in materials science in 108 journals (both Indian and foreign) during 1993-2001. Of these, the top 10 journals accounted for 58.79 per cent papers, top 20 journals for 76.93 per cent, top 30 journals for 85.52 per cent, and top 40 for 90.94 per cent papers of the total output in materials science. It implies that the country output in materials science is highly scattered in nearly 68 journals. The list of top 20 journals publishing India's papers in materials science are given in Table 5.

4.5 Impact of India's Publications Output in Materials Science

The average impact factor (IF) per paper for the country output in materials science is not high (0.638) since nearly 91.74 per cent of its output is published in low impact journals (IF=0.001-1.999), and 2.7 per cent output in zero impact journals. Its output appearing in medium IF journals (IF=2.000-3.999) and high impact journals (IF=4 and more) is not very significant (3.57 per cent and 1.58 per cent, respectively). This trend is uniform across all sub-fields in materials science. However, the quality of research output in materials science is showing marginal rise with time. Comparing the publications share of the country in different categories of journals during 1993-95 and 1999-200, it was seen that the country's share in zero impact and low impact journals have declined

Subject	Pul	olications co	unt	Growth rate
	1993-95	1993-95 1996-98 1999-01		1993-95 to 1999-2001
Biomaterials	34	40	67	97.06
Ceramics	136	171	243	78.68
Characterization & Testing	62	109	118	90.32
Coatings & films	95	111	182	91.58
Composites	92	81	203	120.65
Multidisciplinary	2119	2475	2734	29.02

Table 3. Publications growth in different subfields in materials science during 1993-2001

DESIDOC Bull. Inf. Technol., 2007, 27(1)

Per cent share	Subject	Activity index		
of total output		1993-95	1996-98	1999-01
97.06	Biomaterials	0.88	0.86	1.20
78.68	Ceramics	0.90	0.94	1.12
90.32	Characterization & Testing	0.78	1.14	1.03
91.58	Coatings & films	0.89	0.87	1.19
120.65	Composites	0.89	0.65	1.37
29.02	Multidisciplinary Science	1.05	1.02	0.94
267.27	Textile	0.49	1.12	1.25
-28.57	Wood	1.57	0.79	0.78
100	Overall	1	1	1

Table 4. Publications activity of sub-fields in materials science during 1993-2001

Table 5.	Тор	20	journals	in	materials	science	preferred	by	Indian	scientists	for
				р	ublishing	researc	n papers				

S.No.	Journal title	Total publications 1993-2001
1	Bulletin of Materials Science	816
2	Journal of Materials Science Letters	587
3	Journal of Materials Science	440
4	Materials Chemistry and Physics	337
5	Materials Letters	312
6	Indian Journal of Fibre & Textile Research	302
7	Thin Solid Films	275
8	Microelectronics and Reliability	257
9	Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials	232
10	Materials Research Bulletin	224
11	Materials Science and Engineering A-Structural Materials Properties Microstructure and Processing	222
12	Microwave and Optical Technology Letters	201
13	Journal of Materials Processing Technology	200
14	Materials Science and Engineering B-Solid State Materials for Advanced Technology	195
15	Journal of Nuclear Materials	179
16	Wear	156
17	Journal of Materials Research	147
18	Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids	144
19	Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A-Physical Metallurgy and Materials Science	138
20	Journal of Materials Chemistry	133

from 2.97 per cent to 2.70 per cent, and from 94.24 per cent to 91.74 per cent, respectively, whereas its share in medium and high impact journals have shown marginal rise from 2.44 per cent to 3.57 per cent and from and 0.34 per cent to 1.98 per cent, respectively. This indicates that the quality of research in materials science has marginally increased over the years (Table 6). On analysing the average IF for papers in different sub-fields of materials science during 1993-2001, it was found that maximum impact (1.03 average impact factor per paper) was observed in case of coatings and films followed by biomaterials (0.98), multidisciplinary materials science (0.91), ceramics (0.90), composites (0.45), wood (0.33), characterisation and testing (0.30), and textiles (0.21). In most of the sub-fields the average impact factor per paper have increased during 1993-95 to 1999-2001. The maximum increase (from 0.70 to 1.15) in IF per paper was reported in case of multidisciplinary materials science followed by wood (from 0.18 to 0.44), biomaterials (from 0.84 to

DESIDOC Bull. Inf. Techol., 2007, 27(1)

1.08), composites (from 0.39 to 0.49), ceramics (from 0.83 to 0.97), etc. (Table 7).

4.6 Collaborative Profile in Materials Science

Of the total 9545 papers reported by India in materials science during 1993-2001, 2910 (30.48 per cent) were through collaborative research. The country showed significant rise in collaborative papers from 346 (13.2 per cent) to 1620 (42.9 per cent) during 1993-1995 to 1999-2001. The rise in collaborative research output (368.2 per cent) was greater than the rise in country's output in materials science research (7.09 per cent) during the corresponding period (Table 8). Of the total 9545 papers in materials science, 2101 (22 per cent) were through collaborative research at national level and 972 (10.2 per cent) through collaborative research at international level. The rise in collaborative research at national level was higher from 236 to 1152 papers (9.0 per cent to 30.56 per cent) than

the rise in collaborative research at international level from 132 to 565 papers (4.65 per cent to 14.99 per cent) during 1993-95 to 1999-2001. The collaboration through bilateral participation was greater (859 papers, 88.37 per cent) then collaboration through multilateral participation (113 papers, 11.62 per cent). However, bilateral research showed decline (from 94.26 per cent to 86.72 per cent) and multilateral research showed rise (from 5.74 per cent to 13.27 per cent) during 1993-1995 to 1999-2001 (Table 9). The collaborative share of research, as seen from the number of publications, was the largest (37.62 per cent) in coatings, followed by composites (34.31 per cent), multidisciplinary materials science (31.45 per cent), biomaterials science (27.66 per cent), etc. The collaborative share at national level was the largest (27.39 per cent) in composites followed by coatings (22.84 per cent), multidisciplinary materials science (22.64 per cent), textiles (20.83 per cent), etc. The collaborative share at international level was the largest in coatings (18.04 per

Table 6. Research output in different IF range during 1993-1995 to 1999-2001

IF Range		Total Papers		Total Papers			
	1993-95	1996-98	1999-01	1993-01	Per cent share		
0.00-0.00	78	138	42	258	2.70		
0.01-1.99	2470	2879	3408	8757	91.74		
2.00 -3.99	64	117	160	341	3.57		
4 and more	9	21	159	189	1.98		
Total	2621	3155	3769	9545	100		

Subfields	1993-95	1996-98	1999-2001	1993-2001
Biomaterials	0.84	0.99	1.04	0.98
Ceramics	0.83	0.84	0.97	0.90
Characterisation	0.31	0.27	0.32	0.30
Coatings	1.10	0.82	1.13	1.03
Composites	0.39	0.41	0.49	0.45
Multidisciplinary	0.70	0.81	1.15	0.91
Textile	0.24	0.16	0.24	0.21
Wood	0.18	0.45	0.44	0.33

Table 7. Average IF per paper in different subfields of materials science

Table 8.	Publications	output	by	nature	of	collaboration	in	materials	science
----------	--------------	--------	----	--------	----	---------------	----	-----------	---------

Period	ТР	TCP	TNCP	TICP
93-95	2621	346	236	122
96-98	3155	944	713	285
99-01	3769	1620	1152	565
93-01	9545	2910	2101	972

TP=Total Papers; TCP=Total Collaborative Papers; TNCP=Total National Collaborative Papers; TICP=Total International Collaborative Papers

cent) followed by wood (12.31 per cent), ceramics (10.91 per cent), multidisciplinary materials science (10.53 per cent), etc.

4.7 Institutional Productivity and Quality

A total of 933 institutions belonging to academic and mission-oriented research in the country participated in materials research during 1993-2001. Of these, top 83 institutions accounted for 80 per cent research output and the remaining 850 for just 20 per cent research output (Table 10). It implies that the pockets of excellence in materials science research are highly localised.

Of the top 20 institutions, 12 were academic institutions (IISc Bangalore; IIT Kharagpur, Chennai, Mumbai, Kanpur, Delhi; SHIVUK; BANAUV; COLL-IT-VA; ANNAUM; Delhi University, Delhi; and RRL,Thiruvanthapuram) and eight mission-oriented institutions (BARC, IGCAR, DMRL, IACS, CGCRI, NPL, NCL, and CERI).

Table 9. Publications output by nature of collaboration in materials science sub-fields

Sub-fields	TP	ТСР	TNCP	TICP
Biomaterials	141	39	28	13
Ceramics	550	134	83	60
Characterisation	289	60	48	13
Coatings	388	146	89	70
Composites	376	129	103	33
Multidisciplinary	7328	2305	1659	772
Textile	408	86	85	3
Wood	65	11	6	8
Total	9545	2910	2101	972

TP=Total papers; TCP=Total collaborative papers; TNCP=Total national collaborative papers; TICP=Total international collaborative papers

Table	10.	Leading	institutions	in	materials	science	in	India	during	1993-2001
-------	-----	---------	--------------	----	-----------	---------	----	-------	--------	-----------

Institution code	Papers (1993-2001)						
	Total	Cumulative per cent	Average IF/paper				
IIS	995	7.78	1.189				
IIT, Kharagpur	695	5.44	0.781				
IITM, Chennai	495	3.87	0.648				
BARC	487	3.81	0.948				
IITB, Mumbai	426	3.33	0.741				
IGCAR	405	3.17	0.772				
IIT, Kanpur	357	2.79	0.79				
IITD, New Delhi	349	2.73	0.531				
DMRL	298	2.33	1.176				
IACS	281	2.20	0.939				
CGCRI	256	2.00	0.779				
NPL	250	1.96	0.814				
SHIVUK	230	1.80	0.733				
BANAUV	227	1.78	0.991				
NCL	205	1.60	1.275				
COLL-IT-VA	166	1.30	0.85				
ANNAUM	162	1.27	0.679				
DELHUD	161	1.26	0.682				
CERI	156	1.22	0.69				
RRL-TRIV	154	1.20	0.773				

DESIDOC Bull. Inf. Techol., 2007, 27(1)

5. CONCLUSION

As seen from publications data in the Web of Science, materials science research in the country is not stagnant, but growing steadily at about seven per cent per year. Currently, the publications activity is the fastest in composites followed by textiles, biomaterials, coating and films, and ceramics. In wood science, the publications activity is below the country average. The research institutions publish mainly in low impact journals and very little in high impact journals. It is for this reason that the average impact per paper of the country in materials science is low. The collaborative research is growing faster (368.2 per cent) than the country growth in materials science research (7.09 per cent). The bilateral participation in materials science research is greater (88.37 per cent) than collaboration through multilateral participation (11.62 per cent). The pockets of excellence are localised to select few institutions out of 933 institutions, which participated in materials science research during 1993-2001.

REFERENCES

- Kochhar, V.B., et al. Materials research in India: A quantitative analysis. *In* Bibliometrics, scientometrics and informatrics, edited by B.M.Gupta. Segment, New Delhi, 1996. pp. 154-63.
- 2. Mohan, S., et al. Materials science research and development in India: A scientometric analysis of international collaborative output. *DESIDOC Bull. Inf. Technol.*, 2003, **23**(2), 11-23.

Contributor



Mr Rajpal Walke did his BSc in 1990. After doing BLiS and MLiS, he did MPhil in Library and Information Science in 2005. His areas of interest are bibliometric analysis, scientomertric analysis, collection development, library management, administration, and application of IT in LIS. He has a number of publications to his credit. Presently, he is working as Technical Officer in National Physical Laboratory.